Application No. 10/687,503

Art Unit: 3721

Office Action dated: February 24, 2005

REMARKS

Claims 1, 10 and 22 have been amended to recite the "firing member" as the "firing bar", as per the Examiner's suggestion. Accordingly, no new matter is involved. Claims 1, 10 and 22 have been amended to recite the limitations of claims 2 and/or 11, and claims 2 and 11 have been cancelled herein. Accordingly, no new matter is involved.

In the previous office action, claims 1-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the Examiner stated:

"Claims 1, 10 and 22 recite the phrase "said firing bar" in lines 7, 8 and 11 of claim 1, lines 8-10 and 13 in claim 10, and lines 7.8.11.13 and 14 of claim 22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims. The remainder of the claims, excluding claims 8, 16 and 21, also recite the phase "said firing bar", which is improper. Perhaps changing the phrase "a firing member" in line 5 of claim 1, line 6 of claim 10, and line 5 of claims 22 to read "a firing bar" would correct the problem."

As noted above Claims 1, 10 and 22 have been amended to recite the "firing member" as the "firing bar", as per the Examiner's suggestion. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections to Applicants claims.

In the previous office action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 9, 10, 14, 20 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milliman et al. (USPN 6,669,073) in view of Geiste et al. (USPN 6,202,914). However, the Examiner also indicated that claims 2-8, 11-13, 15-19 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in the Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As noted above claims 1, 10 and 22 have been amended to recite the limitations of claims 2 and/or 11, and claims 2 and 11 have been cancelled herein. Therefore, Applicants submit that all pending claims are now fully allowable over the prior art cited by the

Application No. 10/687,503

Art Unit: 3721

Office Action dated: February 24, 2005

Examiner. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner re-examine and favorably reconsider Applicants' claims in the form of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

By:/Dean L. Garner/
Dean L. Garner, Esq.
Reg. No. 35,877
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Johnson & Johnson One Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003 (513) 337-8559 Date: April 18, 2005



United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatant and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. But 1450 Alexandra Victina 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	PILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 9046	
10/687,503	10/15/2003	Kevin Doll	END-887CIP		
27777 7	390 02/24/200S	RECEIVED	EXAMINER		
PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			WEBKS, GLORIA R		
		PEB 2 8 2005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUNS	VSWICK, NJ 08933-7003		3721		
		184 PAT DET CECTION			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

TOL-20070 4 841	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 02032005
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Palent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Tendemark Office	Paner	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Dato
1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received i riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	en recelved in this National Stage
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreita) All b) Some col None of:		C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Raplacement drawing sheet(s) including the com 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected he drawing(s) be held in abe rection is required if the draw	vance. See 37 CFR 1,85(a).
Application Papers		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Disposition of Claims		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final, vance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Status		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR; after SX (5) MONTHS from the medium date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutary perior - Feiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three mentils after the male armed patent form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.135(a). In no event, however, may oply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M	reply be timely filed wity (30) days will be considered timely. NTTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appended for Reply	ppoars on the cover sheat i	vith the correspondence address
The Man Alexander	Gloria R Weeks	3721
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	10/687,503	DOLL ET AL.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,503

Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 10 and 22 recite the phrase "said firing bar" in lines 7, 8 and 11 of claim 1, lines 8-10 and 13 in claim 10, and lines 7, 8, 11, 13 and 14 of claim 22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims. The remainder of the claims, excluding claims 8, 16 and 21, also recite the phrase "said firing bar", which is improper. Perhaps changing the phrase "a firing member" in line 5 of claim 1, line 6 of claim 10, and line 5 of claims 22 to read "a firing bar" would correct the problem.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A parent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior an are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 9, 10, 14, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milliman et al. (USPN 6,669,073) in view of Geiste et al. (USPN 6,202,914).

In reference to claims 1, 9, 10, 14, 20 and 22 Milliman et al. discloses a surgical stapling instrument comprising: a cartridge (220) containing a wedge member (234), the wedge member

Application/Control Number: 10/687,503

Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

(234) moveable longitudinally within the cartridge (220) to eject said staples from the cartridge (220); an elongate channel (216); a firing member (212) having a sharp edge (280), a support member (285) interacting with the elongate channel (216; figure 45), and cooperatively engagable with the wedge member (234; column 13 lines 5-8)) and longitudinally moveable in a distal direction; and a locking mechanism (288). The locking mechanism of Millimane et al. prevents distal movement of the firing member (212), although it is not biased by the wedge member (234).

Geiste et al. discloses a surgical stapling instrument comprising: a cartridge (22) containing a wedge member (134); an elongate channel (114) having a reception feature (figures 13 & 13A); a firing member (144) including a sharp edge for cutting; a locking mechanism (120) for preventing distal movement of the firing bar (144) after the firing member (144) has been moved proximally a predetermined distance, wherein the wedge member (134) biases the firing member (144) into an unlocked (column 7 lines 10-18) and wherein the (134) wedge. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the firing member of Milliman et al. to include the locking mechanism of Geiste et al. for the purpose of preventing reactuation of the instrument after it has been actuated.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-8, 11-13, 15-19 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,503

Art Unit: 3721

Page 4

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria R Weeks whose telephone number is (571) 272-4473. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 6:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gra. II

February 14, 2005

Gloria R Weeks Examiner

Notice of References Cited				10/687,503 Reexam		Applicant(s)F Reexamination DOLL ET AL	int(s)Patent Under mination ET AL	
					Examiner Gloria R Week	s	Art Unit 3721	Page 1 of 1
_				U.S. P.	ATENT DOCUMEN	п		
*		Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY			Name			Classification
*	Α	US-8,669,073	12-2003	Milliman et al.				227/175.2
*	₿	US-6,202,914	03-2001	Geisle	et al.		227/176.1	
	¢	US-6,032,849	03-2000	Mastri	et al.		227/176,1	
*	Þ	US-5,487,500	01-1996	Knode	letal.		227/181.1	
*	Ε	US-5,871,135	02-1999	Williamson IV et at.				227/178.1
*	F	US-5,826,778	10-1998	Schulze et al.				227/176.1
_	G	US-5,762,255	06-1998	Chrisman et al.				227/175.2
	н	US					·	
	1	US-						
_	7	US-						
-	×	US-		ļ				
-	<u> </u>	US-						
	М	US-						
. 1		Document Number		FOREIGN	PATENT DOCUM	RENTS		
_		Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Country Name		me	Classification
_	N							
_	٥						-	T
1								
	Р			<u> </u>			-	
	Q							
	QR							
-	O R S							
	QR							
•	O R S				PATENT DOGUME			
*	Q R S	Inclu	de es applicable				me, Portinent Pages	
*	O R S	Inclu	de es applicable				me, Portinent Pages	
*	Q R S T	Inclu	de es applicable				me, Portinent Poges	
*	Q R S	· Inctu	de es applicable				me, Portinent Pages	
*	Q R S T	· Inclu	de & applicable				me, Portinent Pages	
*	Q R S T	inct _u	de es applicable				me, Portinent Pages	
*	Q R S T	Inclu	de as applicable				me, Portinent Pages	
*	Q R S T V V W X	s reference is not being turnished with the third format are publication dates. Clar		e: Author,	Title Date, Publishe		me, Portinent Pages	

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 02032005